

LGBCE Proposed Ward Name	Details	CDC Comments
Banbury Wards		
Banbury Calthorpe and Easington	The southern part of Banbury Town, including the residential areas on both side of Bloxham Road and Oxford Road	Whilst the Working Group didn't have any strong objections to the proposed District Wards for Banbury, they were very concerned about the proposed changes to the Town Council electoral arrangements and the 15 Town wards. They felt that the proposals contradicted the Commission's aim of community identity, as the lack of co-terminosity across district and Town Council boundaries would lead to confusion amongst electors.
Banbury Cross and Castle	Includes Banbury Town Centre, extends north to Oxford Canal, east to the railway line, south to junction of Bloxham Road and Queensway and west to the streets behind Woodgreen Leisure Centre	
Banbury Grimsbury and Hightown	Eastern part of the town. Bounded to the north and east by the district boundary. Western Boundary is the A4260 and the southern boundary runs behind properties on Hightown Leyes, Foscoate Rise and Meadow View	
Banbury Hardwick	The northern part of Banbury, including Hardwick, the Hanwell Fields estate and the new developments off Dukes Meadow Drive	
Banbury Ruscote	Ruscote and Neithrop residential areas, as far north as Warwick Road and extending South to Broughton Road. Extends west to the edge of Town and east to the back of Woodgreen Leisure Centre	

Bicester Wards		
Bicester East	Town Centre, as far south as Church Street/Casueway, and the residential areas north of the centre extending to the parish boundary with Launton.	The Working Group felt that the Southern boundary of the proposed district Ward should be moved further south, to follow the railway line down to where it meets the A41, then follow the A41 to the roundabout with the B4030. The railway line and the road act as a physical boundary, and the area of Bicester currently proposed to be in the Bicester South ward would be better suited to the Bicester East Ward, due to the detachment the positioning of the railway line and road creates.
Bicester North	Parish of Caversfield and residential areas either side of Banbury Road, stretching to the railway line in the South and Buckingham Road to the East	The Working Group had no specific comments regarding the proposal.
Bicester South	South of Bicester town centre, including Bicester village, Langford village, new development south of Middleton Stoney Road, and Ambrosden.	Please see comments against the proposed Bicester East Ward.
Bicester West	Residential area bounded by the railway line to the north, Queens Avenue/Field Street to east, Middleton Stoney Road to south and parish boundary with Bucknell to the west.	The Working Group had no specific comments regarding the proposal.
Rural Wards		
Adderbury, Bloxham and Bodicote	Adderbury, Bloxham, Bodicote and Milton	The Working Group had no specific comments regarding the proposal, other than to reiterate that they felt the CDC submission met the statutory criteria and was more

		appropriate to local community and geographical considerations, and would better enable effective democratic representation.
Cropredy, Sibfords and Wroxton	Parishes of Bourton, Broughton, Claydon with Clattercott, Cropredy, Drayton, Epwell, Hanwell, Horley, Hornton, Mollington, North Newington, Prescote, Shenington with Alkerton, Shutford, Sibford Ferris, Sibford Gower, Swalcliffe, Tadmarton, Wardington and Wroxton	The LGBCE draft recommendation is the same as the CDC submission. The working group therefore support the draft recommendation.
Deddington	Barford St John and St Michael, Deddington, Duns Tew, Hook Norton, Fritwell, Middle Aston, Milcombe, North Aston, Somerton, Souldern, South Newington, Steeple Aston and Wigginton	The Working Group had no specific comments regarding the proposal, other than to reiterate that they felt the CDC submission met the statutory criteria and was more appropriate to local community and geographical considerations, and would better enable effective democratic representation.
Fringford and Heyfords	Ardley with Fewcott, Bucknell, Cottisford, Finmere, Fringford, Godington, Hardwick with Tusmore, Hethe, Kirtlington, Lower Heyford, Middleton Stoney, Mixbury, Newton Purcell with Shelswell, Stoke Lyne, Stratton Audley and Upper Heyford	The Working Group had no specific comments regarding the proposal, other than to reiterate that they felt the CDC submission met the statutory criteria and was more appropriate to local community and geographical considerations, and would better enable effective democratic representation.
Kidlington East	North-west of Kidlington Town, as far south as the High Street, and the parish of Gosford and Water Eaton	The LGBCE draft recommendation is extremely similar to the CDC submission. The working group therefore support the draft recommendation for District level, subject to clarification on the exact positioning of the ward boundary between east and west. The map available on the LGBCE consultation site https://consultation.lgbce.org.uk/node/2727 when zoomed in appears to show two ward boundaries in the High

		<p>Street area.</p> <p>The Working Group were concerned about the proposed amendments to the Parish Wards in Kidlington. The proposal to reduce the parish wards from five to four and change the number of parish councillors per ward does not appear to be in keeping with the rationale of community identity, it seems to confuse it, and makes it more difficult for elected members to accurately represent their communities. The parish proposal is not supported at District or Parish level.</p>
Kidlington West	South-east of Kidlington Town, as far north as the High Street, and the parishes of Begbroke and Yarnton	The LGBCE draft recommendation is extremely similar to the CDC submission. The working group therefore support the draft recommendation for District level, subject to the clarification as detailed above and the comments regarding the Parish proposals.
Launton and Otmoor	Arcott, Blackthorn, Launton, Piddington, Wendlebury, Bletchington, Charlton-on-Otmoor, Fencott and Murcott, Hampton Gay and Poyle, Horton-cum-Studley, Islip, Merton, Noke, Oddington, Weston-on-the-Green, Shipton-on-Cherwell and Thrupp	The LGBCE draft recommendation is the same as the CDC submission. The working group therefore support the draft recommendation.